

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office

> P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ... FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/628,491 07/29/2003 Donald S. Hare 0175-0348P 5049 2292 12/08/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH SCHILLING, RICHARD L PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1752

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A
Advisory Action	10/628,491	HARE ET AL.	('
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard L Schilling	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application application in the second of the se	cation. A proper rep	oly to a eation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather than the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action or (ension fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second s	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claim	าร.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejective recorded.	tion(s): Obvious double patentir	ng ; term. dis. appro	ved and
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	roved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			
-	PRIM	AD L. SCHILLING ARY EXAMINER HOUP 1 10 0/282	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 1264

Continuation of 10. Other: Reconsideration not entered as unsigned. The copy shown at the interview is unconvincing? The spec. does not indicate that the inventor had possession of invention of using heat press for second heating. The stated purpose of sec. heating with nonstick sheet is for use of hand iron instead of using commercial heat press in one operation..

> RICHARD L. SCHILLING PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP-1100 (75)